

CONDITIONAL RATE-DISTORTION THEORY

by

Robert M. Gray

October 1972

This document has been approved for public
release and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

Reproduction in whole or in part
is permitted for any purpose of
the United States Government.

Technical Report No. 6502-2

This work was supported in part by the
Joint Services Electronics Program
(U.S. Army, U.S. Navy, and U.S. Air Force)
under Contract N00014-67-A-0112-0044
and by the National Science Foundation
Grant GK-31630

Information Systems Laboratory
Stanford Electronics Laboratories
Stanford University Stanford, California

Introduction

The conditional rate-distortion function has proved useful in source coding problems involving the possession of side information such as in simple networks and sources with memory. Many of the basic properties of conditional rates, however, are lengthy but relatively straightforward extensions of the usual theory. Hence, these results have not appeared in the papers concerned with the applications of conditional rates. The purpose of this report is to present these basic definitions, coding theorems and bounds so as to provide a complete background reference for the journal papers on composite bounds for data compression performance attainable with sources with memory [8] and on source coding for simple networks [5].

Definitions and Preliminaries

Joint Rates

The joint rate-distortion function of a vector source $\underline{U} = (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_n)$ and a vector-valued distortion measure $\underline{D}(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}}) = \{D_k(\hat{u}_k, u_k), k=1, \dots, m\}$ defined on $A(\underline{U}) \times \Omega(\hat{\underline{U}})$ is defined as follows:

$$R_{\underline{U}}(\delta) = \inf_{p_t(\hat{\underline{u}}|\underline{u}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{U}}(\delta)} I(\underline{U}; \hat{\underline{U}})$$

where

$$p_t(\hat{\underline{u}}|\underline{u}) \in \mathcal{P}_{\underline{U}}(\delta)$$

if

$$a) \quad p_t(\hat{u}|\underline{u}) \geq 0$$

$$b) \quad \sum_{\hat{u} \in \Omega(\hat{U})} p_t(\hat{u}|\underline{u}) = 1$$

$$c) \quad \sum_{\underline{u} \in A(\underline{U})} \sum_{\hat{u} \in \Omega(\hat{U})} p_t(\hat{u}|\underline{u}) Q(\underline{u}) D_k(\underline{u}, \hat{u}) \leq \delta_k$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and

$$I(\underline{U}; \hat{U}) = \sum_{\underline{u} \in A(\underline{U})} \sum_{\hat{u} \in \Omega(\hat{U})} p_t(\hat{u}|\underline{u}) Q(\underline{u}) \log \frac{p_t(\hat{u}|\underline{u})}{\omega(\hat{u})}$$

$$\omega(\hat{u}) = \sum_{\underline{u}} p_t(\hat{u}|\underline{u}) Q(\underline{u})$$

Where $\Omega(\hat{U})$ is the set of letters for which $\omega(\hat{u})$ may be strictly positive.

It can be shown straightforwardly that $R_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\delta})$ is a convex U function of $\underline{\delta}$ as Gallager has shown for the scalar source and distortion measure case [1, pp. 445-446].

Since the argument of the multiple-constraint rate is a vector, the inverse rate-distortion function or distortion-rate function will be a surface in m -space for each value of its scalar argument. The distortion-rate function is given by the surface $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{U}}(R) = \{\underline{\Delta} : R_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\Delta}) = R\}$. Since $R_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\Delta})$ is a convex function of $\underline{\Delta}$, the surface $\mathcal{D}_{\underline{U}}(R)$ will be the lower boundary of a convex region in m -space, i.e., if $\underline{\Delta}_1, \underline{\Delta}_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{\underline{U}}(R)$, then $\lambda \underline{\Delta}_1 + (1 - \lambda) \underline{\Delta}_2 \geq \mathcal{D}_{\underline{U}}(R)$ for any $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

Although $R_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\delta})$ is defined for the same source as is $H(\underline{U})$, coding theorems can be proved showing it to be the equivalent entropy rate (rather than entropy) of a reproduction (compressed) sequence $\{\hat{u}_{\underline{k}}\}$ of the i.i.d. vector source sequence $\{u_{\underline{k}}\}$ subject to a vector-valued fidelity criterion. Such coding theorems are immediate extensions of those for the usual rate distortion function with a single argument [3, [1, Section 9.3], [2, Chap. 3] and hence are stated here without proof, after the necessary notation is introduced.

Let $\{u_{\underline{k}}\}_{\underline{k}=1}$ be an i.i.d. sequence of vectors (n-tuples) $u_{\underline{k}} = (u_{\underline{k},1}, u_{\underline{k},2}, \dots, u_{\underline{k},n})$. Denote a block of N vectors by $\underline{u}^{(N)} = (u_{\underline{1}}, \dots, u_{\underline{N}})$; the superscript N will be suppressed whenever possible. Assume the distortion measure between blocks of vectors is a single-vector distortion measure, i.e.,

$$D_N(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}}) = N^{-1} \sum_{\underline{k}=1}^N D(u_{\underline{k}}, \hat{u}_{\underline{k}})$$

where $D(u_{\underline{k}}, \hat{u}_{\underline{k}})$ is the per-vector distortion measure.

An encoder with parameters (N, M) is defined as a mapping

$$f_E : A(\underline{U})^N \rightarrow I_M \triangleq \{1, 2, \dots, M\}.$$

A decoder corresponding to f_E is defined by the mapping

$$f_D : I_M \rightarrow \Omega(\hat{\underline{U}})^N.$$

An encoder-decoder is applied as follows: If $f_E(\underline{u}^{(N)}) = i \in I_M$, then $\hat{\underline{u}}^{(N)} = f_D(i) \in \Omega(\hat{\underline{U}})^N$. The encoder-decoder has average distortion $\underline{\delta}$ if $E D_N(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}}) \leq \underline{\delta}$, in which case we have a code with parameters $(\underline{\delta}, N, M)$. A nonnegative number R is called $\underline{\delta}$ -attainable if for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$

and N sufficiently large, there exists a code $(\rho + \delta, \epsilon, N, M)$, $\epsilon = (\epsilon, \epsilon, \dots, \epsilon)$, where

$$M \leq \exp\{N[R + \epsilon]\}.$$

Theorem 1: (Source Coding Theorem)

Given an i.i.d. vector source $\{\underline{u}_k\}$ and a single-vector vector-valued distortion measure, then the rate $R_{\underline{U}}(\delta) + \epsilon$ is δ -attainable.

Theorem 2: (Converse Source Coding Theorem)

Given the source and distortion measure of Theorem 1, no rate smaller than $R_{\underline{U}}(\delta)$ is δ -attainable. The converse theorem can also be stated as follows: If $\delta < \mathcal{D}(R)$, then the rate R is not δ -attainable.

Unlike as in Berger [2, Chap. 3], the above theorems are stated in terms of δ -attainable rates rather than δ -admissible codes. The above terminology adapts more readily to the coding theorems and examples considered here and in [5] and [8].

The evaluation of $R_{\underline{U}}(\delta)$ is in general complicated. The immediate extension of the Kuhn-Tucker minimization of single constraint rate distortion functions [1, p. 459], [2, p. 37] yields

$$R_{\underline{U}}(\delta) = H(\underline{U}) + \max_{\rho \geq 0, \underline{f} \in \mathcal{F}(\rho)} \left\{ \sum_{\underline{u} \in A(\underline{U})} Q(\underline{u}) \log f_{\underline{u}}(\rho) - \delta \rho^T \right\} \quad (1)$$

where T stands for transpose and where $\underline{f} = \underline{f}(\rho) = \{f_{\underline{u}}(\rho), \underline{u} \in A(\underline{U})\} \in \mathcal{F}(\rho)$ if

- (i) $\underline{f}(\rho) \geq 0$
- (ii) $\sum_{\underline{u} \in A(\underline{U})} f_{\underline{u}}(\rho) e^{-\mathcal{D}(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}})} \rho^T \leq 1, \hat{\underline{u}} \in \Omega(\hat{\underline{U}}).$

Necessary and sufficient conditions on \underline{f} to yield the maximum in (1) are

(iii) that there exist a nonnegative solution $\omega(\hat{\underline{u}})$ to

$$\sum_{\hat{\underline{u}} \in \Omega(\hat{\underline{U}})} \omega(\hat{\underline{u}}) \underline{f}_{\underline{u}}(\underline{\rho}) e^{-\underline{D}(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}}) \underline{\rho}^T} = \underline{Q}(\underline{u}); \quad \underline{u} \in A(\underline{U})$$

and

(iv) that (ii) hold with equality for all $\hat{\underline{u}}$ such that $\omega(\hat{\underline{u}}) > 0$.

When $A(\underline{U}) = \Omega(\hat{\underline{U}})$, we can lower bound $R_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\delta})$ by solving (ii) with equality for all $\hat{\underline{u}} \in A(\underline{U})$ and inserting the result into (1). This \underline{f} will yield $R_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\delta})$ if the auxiliary conditions (iii) and (iv) are satisfied. The remaining maximization over $\underline{\rho}$ simply involves taking derivatives and will yield parametric expressions for $R_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\delta})$ and $\underline{\delta}$. The $A(\underline{U}) = \Omega(\hat{\underline{U}})$ condition is crucial since only in this case does (ii) with equality become $\|A(\underline{U})\|$ equations in $\|A(\underline{U})\|$ unknowns, where $\|A(\underline{U})\|$ denotes the size of the alphabet. The properties of this bound--called the extended Shannon lower bound (ESLB)--are an immediate extension of the Kuhn-Tucker minimization and are summarized below sans proof.

Theorem 3: Define the vector $\underline{\Phi}(\underline{\rho}) = \left\{ \Phi_{\underline{u}}(\underline{\rho}), \underline{u} \in A(\underline{U}) \right\}$ as the non-negative solution (if it exists) to

$$\underline{\Phi}(\underline{\rho}) \underline{E} = \underline{1} \tag{2a}$$

where

$$\underline{E} = \left\{ \exp \left\{ -\underline{D}(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}}) \underline{\rho}^T \right\}; \quad \underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}} \in A(\underline{U}) \right\} \tag{2b}$$

and $\underline{\rho} = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_M\}$ is a vector having nonnegative entries. Define

$$R_{\underline{U}}^{(L)}(\underline{\delta}) = H(\underline{U}) + \max_{\underline{\rho} \geq \underline{0}} \left\{ \sum_{\underline{u} \in A(\underline{U})} Q(\underline{u}) \log \varphi_{\underline{u}}(\underline{\rho}) - \underline{\delta} \underline{\rho}^T \right\} \quad (2c)$$

Since $\varphi(\underline{\rho}) \in \mathcal{F}(\underline{\rho})$ we have

$$R_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\delta}) \geq R_{\underline{U}}^{(L)}(\underline{\delta}) \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, (3) will hold with equality iff there exists a nonnegative solution $\omega(\hat{\underline{u}})$ to (iii) with $\underline{f} = \underline{\varphi}$.

To be properly careful we should worry about the existence of a nonnegative solution to the $\|A(\underline{U})\|$ equations in $\|A(\underline{U})\|$ unknowns described by (2). A straightforward extension of arguments in [6] based on a fundamental result of Jelinek [4] yield the following sufficient condition for tightness of $R_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\delta})$ for a region of small $\underline{\delta}$.

Theorem 4: Given the vector source \underline{U} , a distortion measure satisfying $D(\underline{u}, \hat{\underline{u}}) > D(\underline{u}, \underline{u}) = \underline{\varphi}$, and an available reproduction alphabet $\Omega(\hat{\underline{U}}) = A(\underline{U})$, there exists a surface $\mathcal{D}_c(\underline{U})$ containing strictly positive elements such that

$$R_{\underline{U}}(\underline{\delta}) = R_{\underline{U}}^{(L)}(\underline{\delta}), \quad \underline{\delta} \leq \mathcal{D}_c(\underline{U})$$

The surface $\mathcal{D}_c(\underline{U})$ is called the cutoff, or critical distortion surface.

As discussed in [8], the most useful types of per-vector distortion measures are compound and weighted-average distortion measures. The joint rate with a weighted-average distortion measure with weights $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ can be obtained from the joint rate with a compound distortion measure as follows: Consider a weighted-average m -valued distortion measure with per-letter distortion measures $d_i(u_i, \hat{u}_i)$, $i=1, \dots, n$. We have from the definitions that

$$R_{\tilde{U}}(\tilde{\Delta}) = \inf_{\{\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_n\} \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\Delta})} R_U(\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_n) \quad (4a)$$

$$\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\Delta}) = \left\{ \{\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_n\} : \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k \tilde{\Delta}_k = \tilde{\Delta} \right\} \quad (4b)$$

Since $R_U(\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_n)$ is a convex \cup function of $(\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_n)$, Gallager's Theorem 4.4.1 [1, p. 81] can be extended to show that the above infimum occurs at the value of $\{\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_n\}$, say $\{\tilde{\Delta}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_n^*\}$, which is in $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{\Delta})$ and satisfies the following condition: Define $\tilde{\Delta}_k = (\tilde{\Delta}_{k,1}, \tilde{\Delta}_{k,2}, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_{k,m})$, then

$$\frac{d}{d\tilde{\Delta}_{k,j}} R_U(\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_n) \Big|_{\{\tilde{\Delta}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_n^*\}} \equiv \frac{d}{d\tilde{\Delta}_j} R_U(\tilde{\Delta}) \Big|_{\tilde{\Delta}} \quad k=1, \dots, n$$

with equality for all k such that $\tilde{\Delta}_{k,j}^* > 0$. The above condition can be abbreviated to the statement that the slopes of $R_U(\tilde{\Delta}_1^*, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_n^*)$ in the j^{th} coordinate in each of its n -vector arguments equals the slope of $R_U(\tilde{\Delta})$ in its j^{th} coordinate.

Despite the apparently circuitous way of finding a weighted-average distortion measure, (4) later proves quite useful in [8]. It should be pointed out that, even though the equal-slope condition appears horrendous, rate-distortion functions are usually evaluated as parametric expressions for the rate and distortion in terms of the slope. Hence, in actuality, this condition usually simplifies such evaluations.

Conditional Rates

Given the two-dimensional source XY described by $Q(x,y)$ and $A(XY)$, the induced marginal source Y described by $Q(y)$ and $A(y)$, and for each $y \in A(Y)$ the marginal source X_y described by $Q(x|y)$ and $A(X|y)$, define the conditional rate-distortion function of X given Y as

$$R_{X|Y}(\Delta) = \inf_{p_t(\hat{x}|x,y) \in \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(\Delta)} I(X; \hat{X}|Y)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(\Delta) = \left\{ \text{pmf's } p(\hat{x}|x,y) : \begin{aligned} &E D(x, \hat{x}) = \\ &\sum_{\hat{x}, x, y} p_t(\hat{x}|x,y) Q(x,y) D(x, \hat{x}) \leq \Delta \end{aligned} \right\}$$

The following theorem relates the conditional rate-distortion function to a weighted sum of marginal rate distortion functions.

Theorem 5

$$R_{X|Y}(\Delta) = \inf_{\{\Delta_y\} \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)} \sum_{y \in A(Y)} R_{X|y}(\Delta_y) Q(y)$$

where $R_{X|y}(\Delta)$ is the marginal rate-distortion function of the single source X_y , and

$$\mathcal{D}(\Delta) = \left\{ \{\Delta_y, y \in A(Y)\} : \sum_{y \in A(Y)} \Delta_y Q(y) = \Delta \right\}$$

Proof:

First choose a set $\{\Delta_y\}$ such that

$$\sum_y \Delta_y Q(y) = \Delta \tag{5}$$

and then choose $\|A(Y)\|$ test channels $p_t(\hat{x}|x,y) \in \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(\underline{\Delta}_y)$, $y \in A(Y)$, where $\mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(\underline{\Delta}_y) = \left\{ p_t(\hat{x}|x,y) : \mathbb{E} D(x,\hat{x}) \leq \underline{\Delta}_y \right\}$. Then

$$\sum_y Q(y) \sum_{x,\hat{x}} D(x,\hat{x}) p_t(\hat{x}|x,y) Q(x|y) \leq \sum_y Q(y) \underline{\Delta}_y = \underline{\Delta}$$

and hence $p_t(\hat{x}|x,y) \in \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(\underline{\Delta})$. Thus for any such set of test channels

$$\sum_y I(X;\hat{X}|y) Q(y) = I(X;\hat{X}|Y) \geq R_{X|Y}(\underline{\Delta})$$

so that choosing each test channel $p(\hat{x}|x,y)$, $y \in A(Y)$, to yield $R_{X|Y}(\underline{\Delta}_y)$, we have

$$\sum_{y \in A(Y)} Q(y) R_{X|Y}(\underline{\Delta}_y) \geq R_{X|Y}(\underline{\Delta}) \quad (6)$$

for any set $\{\underline{\Delta}_y, y \in A(Y)\}$ satisfying (5).

Next choose a test channel $p_t(\hat{x}|x,y) \in \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(\underline{\Delta})$. This test channel will result in some set of conditional distortions defined by

$$\underline{\Delta}_y^* \triangleq \sum_{\hat{x},x} p_t(\hat{x}|x,y) Q(x|y) D(x,\hat{x})$$

such that

$$\sum_{y \in A(Y)} \underline{\Delta}_y^* Q(y) \leq \underline{\Delta}.$$

For any such test channel

$$\begin{aligned}
I(X; \hat{X}|Y) &= \sum_{y \in A(Y)} I(X; \hat{X}|y) Q(y) \\
&\equiv \sum_{y \in A(Y)} R_{X|y}(\Delta^*) Q(y) \\
&\equiv \inf_{\{\Delta_y\} \in \mathcal{B}(\Delta)} \sum_{y \in A(Y)} R_{X|y}(\Delta_y) Q(y)
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $p(\hat{x}|x,y)$ to yield $R_{X|Y}(\Delta)$ gives

$$R_{X|Y}(\Delta) \equiv \inf_{\{\Delta_y\} \in \mathcal{B}(\Delta)} \sum_{y \in A(Y)} R_{X|y}(\Delta_y) Q(y)$$

which, with (6) proves the theorem.

Similar to (4), Gallager's Theorem 4.4.1, [1, p. 87] implies that the infimum in Theorem 5 is achieved by adding up the rate-distortion functions at points of equal slope in all coordinates, i.e.,

$$R_{X|Y}(\Delta) = \sum_{y \in A(Y)} R_{X|y}(\Delta_y) Q(y) \tag{7a}$$

where

$$\{\Delta_y\} \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta) \tag{7b}$$

and for each $k=1, \dots, m$

$$R'_{X|y}(\Delta_y) = R'_{X|Y}(\Delta), \quad y \in A(Y). \tag{7c}$$

In general X and Y may themselves be vector sources. For simplicity, however, we shall here remain with scalar notation.

The appropriate coding theorems for conditional rate-distortion functions are slightly more complicated to state than Theorem 1 due to the presence of the side information. Some of the definitions must be modified accordingly. An encoder with parameters (n, M) is now defined as a mapping

$$f_E : A(XY)^n \rightarrow I_M$$

A decoder corresponding to f_E is defined by the mapping

$$f_D : I_M \times A(Y)^n \rightarrow \Omega(X)^n .$$

An encoder-decoder with parameters (n, M) is applied as follows: Let $f_E(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = i \in I_M$, then $\hat{\underline{x}} = f_D(i, \underline{y})$. The encoder-decoder has average distortion $\underline{\Delta}$ if

$$E \{ D(\underline{x}, \hat{\underline{x}}) \} \leq \underline{\Delta}$$

where the expectation is now over the joint ensemble XY . In such a case we have a code $(\underline{\Delta}, n, M)$. As before, a rate R is said to be $\underline{\Delta}$ -attainable (conditioned on $\{y_k\}$) if for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and n -sufficiently large, there exists a code $(\underline{\Delta} + \epsilon, n, M)$ where

$$M \leq \exp\{n[R + \epsilon]\}$$

Theorem 6: (Conditional Source Coding Theorem)

Given an i.i.d. sequence of dependent pairs $\{(x_k, y_k)\}$ and a single-vector distortion measure, assume that both encoder and decoder are allowed to observe perfectly the sequence $\{y_k\}$. Then, the rate $R_{X|Y}(\underline{\Delta})$ is $\underline{\Delta}$ -attainable, and no rate smaller than $R_{X|Y}(\underline{\Delta})$ is $\underline{\Delta}$ -attainable.

The above theorem can be proved using a fairly straightforward extension of the usual techniques [2, Section 3.2]. We get a coding theorem for free, however, by noting that (7) is almost identical to Berger's (6.1.21) [2, p. 184] and hence is the (multiple-constraint) rate-distortion function of an i.i.d. composite source with "switch" pmf $Q(y)$ and $\|A(Y)\|$ subsource pmf's $Q(x|y)$, $y \in A(Y)$.

Note the obvious similarity between (7) and the corresponding entropy relation

$$H(X|Y) = \sum_{y \in A(Y)} H(X|y)Q(y)$$

One implicit difficulty with conditional rates is the choice of the appropriate reproducing alphabet for each $y \in A(Y)$. There are two natural choices--either the corresponding conditional source alphabet $A(X|y)$ or the full alphabet

$$A(X) = \bigcup_{y \in A(Y)} A(X|y).$$

For greatest ease in evaluating $R_{X|y}(\Delta)$ it is desirable to have identical source and available reproducing alphabets, as previously noted. Specifically, the ESLB is well defined only for this case. Thus, the happiest possible state of affairs would be if $\Omega(\hat{X}|y) = A(X|y)$ for each y . Unfortunately, however, the assumption usually required is that the reproducing alphabet $\Omega(\hat{X}|y)$ be $A(X)$ for each y . Thus, in general, we may have

$$A(X|y) \subsetneq \Omega(\hat{X}|y) = A(X)$$

and hence actual evaluations of $R_{X|Y}(\Delta)$ may be quite complicated because the matrix \underline{E} of (2b) is not square and not invertible.

If $A(X) = A(X|Y)$, all $y \in A(Y)$, then $R_{X|Y}(\Delta)$ usually can be evaluated straightforwardly. An equivalent assumption is that the alphabet $A(XY)$ is the cartesian product of the marginal alphabets, i.e., $A(XY) = A(X) \times A(Y)$. In order to obtain some reasonably general bounds and evaluations for the rate-distortion functions in the theorems, we occasionally assume that $A(XY) = A(X) \times A(Y)$. This assumption is not made in the more general theorems. If $A(XY) = A(X) \times A(Y)$ the ESLB of $R_{X|Y}(\Delta)$ is well defined as the weighted sum of the individual ESLB's:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{X|Y}(\Delta) &= \inf_{\{\Delta_y\} \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)} \sum_y R_{X|Y}(\Delta_y) Q(y) \\ &\geq \inf_{\{\Delta_y\} \in \mathcal{D}(\Delta)} \sum_y R_{X|Y}^{(L)}(\Delta_y) Q(y) \triangleq R_{X|Y}^{(L)}(\Delta) \end{aligned}$$

where $R_{X|Y}^{(L)}(\Delta)$ is given by (2) with $\underline{U} = X_y$. The infimum is obtained by adding up the functions at points of equal slope in each component. As in Theorem 4, we have the following result:

Corollary 1: If $A(XY) = A(X) \times A(Y)$ and (4) is satisfied, then there exists a cutoff distortion surface $\underline{\mathcal{D}}_c(X|Y) > 0$ such that

$$R_{X|Y}(\Delta) = R_{X|Y}^{(L)}(\Delta), \quad \Delta \leq \underline{\mathcal{D}}_c(X|Y).$$

Difference Distortion Measures and Examples

The calculations involved in evaluating conditional rates are often simpler when dealing with difference distortion measures. Roughly

speaking, given a conditional rate-distortion function and a difference distortion measure, there exists a simple upper bound which actually yields the conditional rate iff the source satisfies a certain property.

Theorem 7: Let a product ensemble XY and a difference distortion measure be given. Then for any function $f(y)$ defined on $A(Y)$

$$R_{X|Y}(\Delta) = R_{X-f(Y)|Y}(\Delta) \leq R_{X-f(Y)}(\Delta)$$

with equality iff y and $x - f(y)$ are independent.

Proof: Define $z = x - f(y)$. Given a source pmf $Q_{X|Y}(x|y)$ we will have also the source pmf

$$Q_{Z|Y}(z|y) = Q_{X|Y}(z + f(y)|y)$$

since $x = z + f(y)$. Furthermore, any test channel $p_t^{(1)}(\hat{x}|x, y) \in \mathcal{P}_{X|Y}(\Delta)$ induces a test channel $p_t^{(2)}(\hat{z}|z, y) = p_t^{(1)}(\hat{z} + f(y)|z + f(y), y) \in \mathcal{P}_{Z|Y}(\Delta)$ since

$$\begin{aligned} E\{d(\hat{z}, y)|y\} &= \sum_{\substack{z \in A(Z|y) \\ \hat{z} \in \Omega(\hat{Z}|y)}} d(\hat{z} - z) p_t^{(2)}(\hat{z}|z, y) Q_{Z|Y}(z|y) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x \in A(X|y) \\ \hat{x} \in \Omega(\hat{X}|y)}} d(\hat{x} - x) p_t^{(1)}(\hat{x}|x, y) Q_{X|Y}(x|y) \leq \Delta \end{aligned}$$

For the test channel $p_t^{(1)}(\hat{x}|x, y)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; \hat{X}|y) &= H(X|y) - H(X|\hat{X}y) = H(X + f(y)|y) - H(X + f(y)|\hat{X} + f(y), y) \\ &= I(Z; \hat{Z}|y) \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $p_t^{(1)}(\hat{x}|x,y)$ to yield $R_{X|Y}(\Delta)$ we have

$$R_{X|Y}(\Delta) = I(Z; \hat{Z}|Y) \geq R_{Z|Y}(\Delta) \quad (8)$$

By defining $x = z + f(y)$ and repeating the previous procedure with x and z interchanged we obtain

$$R_{X|Y}(\Delta) \leq R_{Z|Y}(\Delta) \quad (9)$$

Eq. (9) implies the left hand equality in Theorem 7. The right hand inequality follows from Theorem 2.1 of [8].

This theorem can be used to provide an alternate evaluation of the conditional rates in the examples of [8]. In the binary example $f(y) = y$ and the source x can be viewed as the mod-2 sum of two independent random variables y and z where

$$Q(y) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad y = 0, 1$$

$$Q(z) = (1-p)^{\delta_{z,0}} p^{1-\delta_{z,0}}$$

The Gaussian case can be viewed similarly. Perhaps a more interesting view of the Gaussian case is to choose the function $f(y)$ such that $x - f(y)$ and y are independent. It is well known that this is accomplished by choosing the conditional expectation

$$f(y) = E x|y = m_X + r \frac{\sigma_X}{\sigma_Y} (y - m_Y) .$$

Thus $R_{X|Y}(\Delta) = R_{X-f(Y)}(\Delta)$ is simply the marginal rate-distortion function of a zero mean Gaussian random variable with variance $\sigma_Y^2(1-r^2)$.

It is worth observing that in both of the preceding examples the conditional rate of x given y is the marginal rate of the "innovation" $v = x - \hat{x}(y)$, where $\hat{x}(y)$ is the best estimate of x given y , i.e., the estimate that minimizes $E d(x, \hat{x}(y))$.

REFERENCES

1. R. G. Gallager, Information Theory and Reliable Communication, New York: Wiley, 1968.
2. Toby Berger, Rate Distortion Theory, Englewood, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1971.
3. C. E. Shannon, "Coding Theorems for a Discrete Source with a Fidelity Criterion," IRE Nat'l. Conv. Rec., Pt. 4, 1959, pp. 142-163.
4. F. Jelinek, "Evaluation of Distortion-Rate Functions for Low Distortions," Proc. IEEE, Vol. 55, November 1967, pp. 2067-2068.
5. R. M. Gray and A. D. Wyner, "Source Coding for Simple Networks," submitted to Bell Systems Technical Journal.
6. R. M. Gray, "Information Rates of Stationary Ergodic Sources," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, Vol. IT-17, September 1971, pp. 516-523.
7. B. M. Leiner, Ph.D. dissertation (in preparation), Stanford University.
8. R. M. Gray, "A New Class of Lower Bounds to Information Rates of Sources with Memory via Conditional Rate-Distortion Functions," to appear, Trans. IEEE-IT, 1973.

JOINT SERVICES ELECTRONICS PROGRAM
REPORTS DISTRIBUTION LIST

Department of Defense

<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>	
1	Director for Materials Sciences Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209	5	Lt. Col. Jack W. Gregory Electronic & Solid State Sciences Air Force Office of Scientific Research 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, Virginia 22209
1	Chief, R&D Division (340) Defense Communications Agency Washington, D.C. 20301	1	Rome Air Development Center Attn: Documents Library (TDLD) Griffiss AFB, New York 13440
12	Defense Documentation Center Attn: DDC-TCA Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	1	Mr. H. E. Webb, Jr. (ISCP) Rome Air Development Center Griffiss AFB, New York 13440
1	Colonel Norman D. Jorstad Weapons Systems Evaluation Group 400 Army-Navy Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202	1	AFSC (CCJ/Mr. Irving R. Mirman) Andrews AFB Washington, D.C. 20331
1	Dr. A. D. Schnitzler Institute for Defense Analysis Science and Technology Division 400 Army-Navy Drive Arlington, Virginia 22202	1	Directorate of Electronics Headquarters AFSC/DLC Andrews AFB Washington, D.C. 20331
1	Dr. George H. Heilmeier Office of Director of Defense Research and Engineering The Pentagon Washington, D.D. 20315	1	Directorate of Science Headquarters AFSC/DLS Andrews AFB Washington, D.C. 20331
		1	Mr. Carl Sletten AFCRL/LZ L.G. Hanscom Field Bedford, MA 01730
<u>Department of the Air Force</u>			
1	Headquarters/USAF (AF/RDPE) Washington, D.C. 20330	1	Dr. Richard Picard AFCRL/OP L.G. Hanscom Field Bedford, MA 01730
1	Headquarters USAF/RDPS Washington, D.C. 20330	1	Mr. Robert Barrett AFCRL/LQ L.G. Hanscom Field Bedford, MA 01730
1	Colonel E. P. Gaines, Jr. ESD (XR) L.G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01730		

<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>	
1	Mr. L. B. Holman ASD/ENV Directorate Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433	1	Major Richard J. Gowen Tenure Professor Dept. of Electrical Eng. USAF Academy, Colorado 80840
1	Dr. John N. Howard AFCRL (CA) L.G. Hanscom Field Bedford, Massachusetts 01730	1	Director, USAF Project RAND Via: Air Force Liaison Office The RAND Corporation Attn: Library D 1700 Main Street Santa Monica, CA 90406
1	Headquarters ESD (TRI) L.G. Hanscom Field Bedford, MA 01730	1	AUL/LSE-9663 Maxwell AFB, Alabama 36112
1	Professor R. E. Fontana Head Dept. of Electrical Eng. AFIT/ENE Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433	1	AFETR Technical Library (MU-135) Patrick AFB, Florida 32925
1	Dr. H. V. Noble, AFAL/TE Chief, Electronics Technology Div. Air Force Avionics Laboratory Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433	1	ADTC (SSLT) Eglin AFB, Florida 32542
1	AF Avionics Lab/CA Attn Dr. Bernard H. List Chief Scientist AF Avionics Laboratory Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433	1	Headquarters AMD (RDR/Col. Godden) Brooks AFB, Texas 78235
1	AFAL/TEA (Mr. R. D. Larson) Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433	1	USAFSAM (RAT) Brooks AFB, Texas 78235
1	Faculty Secretariat (DFSS) US Air Force Academy Colorado 80840	2	Commanding General Attn: STEWS-AD-L, Technical Library White Sands Missile Range New Mexico 88002
1	Mr. Jules I. Wittebort Chief, Electronics Branch AF Materials Lab. AFAL/LTE Wright-Patterson AFB, Ohio 45433	1	USAF European Office of Aerospace Research Technical Information Office Box 14, FPO New York 09510
1	Director of Aerospace-Mechanics Sciences Frank J. Seiler Research Lab. (OAR) USAF Academy, Colorado 80840	1	VELA Seismological Center 312 Montgomery Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314
		1	Dr. Carl E. Baum AFWL (ES) Kirtland AFB New Mexico 87117

Department of the Army

<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>	
			Commandant U.S. Army Command & Gen. Staff College
		1	Attn: Acquisitions, Lib. Div Fort Leavenworth, Kansas 66027
1	Headquarters, DA (DARD-ARP-P) Washington, D.C. 20310	1	Technical Director (SMUFA- A1000TD-107-1) Frankford Arsenal Philadelphia, Penn. 19137
1	Commanding General US Army Security Agency Attn: IARD-T Arlington Hall Station Arlington, Virginia 22212	1	Redstone Scientific Info. Ctr. Attn: Chief, Document Section US Army Missile Command Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809
1	Commanding General US Army Materiel Command Attn: AMCRD-TP (Dr. Zarwyn) Washington, D.C. 20315	1	Commanding General US Army Missile Command Attn: AMSMI-RR Redstone Arsenal, Alabama 35809
1	Director US Army Advanced Materiel Concepts Agency 2461 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22314	1	Commanding General US Army Strategic Communications Command Attn: SCC-ATS (Mr. Peter B. Pichetto) Fort Huachuca, Arizona 85613
1	Mr. H. T. Darracott (AMXAM-TF) US Army Advanced Materiel Concepts Agency 2461 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22314	1	Dr. Homer F. Priest Chief, Materials Sciences Division, Bldg. 292 Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Mass. 02172
1	Commanding Officer (AMXRD-BAD) US Army Ballistics Research Lab. Aberdeen Proving Ground Aberdeen, Maryland 21005	1	John E. Rosenberg Harry Diamond Laboratories Connecticut Ave. & Van Ness Street N. W. Washington, D.C. 20438
1	US Army Munitions Command Attn: Science & Technology Info. Branch, Bldg. 59 Picatinny Arsenal, SMUPA-RT-S Dover, New Jersey 07801	1	Commanding General USACDC Concepts and Force Design Group Attn: Technical Library, Room 636 2461 Eisenhower Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22314
1	Dr. Herman Robl Deputy Chief Scientist US Army Research Office (Durham) Box CM, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina 27706		
1	Richard O. Ulsh (CRDARD-IP) US Army Research Office (Durham) Box CM, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina 27706	1	Commandant US Army Air Defense School Attn: Missile Science Div., C&S Dept. P. O. Box 9390 Fort Bliss, Texas 79916

No. of
Copies

No. of
Copies

1	Dr. Hans K. Zielger (AMSEL-TL-DC) Army Member, TAC/JSEP US Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703	1	Commanding Officer Atmospheric Sciences Lab. US Army Electronics Command White Sands Missile Range New Mexico 88002
10	Mr. I. A. Balton, (AMSEL-TL-DC) Executive Secretary, TAC/JSEP US Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703	1	Atmospheric Sciences Lab. US Army Electronics Command Attn: AMSEL-BL-DD (Mr. Marvin Diamond) White Sands Missile Range New Mexico 88002
2	US Army Medical Research & Dev. Command Forrestal Building Washington, D.C. 20314	1	Chief, Missile EW Tech Area Electronic Warfare Lab.; ECOM Attn: AMSEL-WL-MY White Sands Missile Range New Mexico 88002
4	Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center Washington, D.C. 20012	1	US Army Weapons Command Rock Island Arsenal Rock Island, Illinois 61201
1	Director Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Walter Reed Army Medical Center Attn: MEDEC-ZIF, Building 502 Washington, D.C. 20012	1	Mrs. E. E. Hitchingham, Science Librarian School of Engineering Oakland University Rochester, Michigan 48063
1	Commanding Officer Letterman Army Institute of Res. San Francisco, California 94129	1	Harry C. Holloway, M.D. Col, MC Director, Div. of Neuropsych. Walter Reed Army Institute of Research Washington, D.C. 20012
1	US Army Medical Research Lab. Fort Knox, Kentucky 40121		
1	Mr. A. D. Bedrosian, Room 26-131 US Army Scientific Liaison Office Mass. Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Commanding General US Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 Attn: AMSEL-RD-O (Dr. W. S. McAfee)
1	Project Manager NAVCON Attn: AMCPM-NC-TM (Mr. H. H. Bahr) Bldg. 2539 Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703	1	AMSEL-TL-N (Dr. S. Kronenberg)
		1	AMSEL-CT-L (Dr. G. Buser)
1	Director (NV-D) Night Vision Laboratory, USAECOM Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060	1	AMSEL-BL-FM-A
		1	AMSEL-CT-D

No. of
Copies

No. of
Copies

	Commanding General US Army Electronics Command Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703	1	Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 5200 Washington, D.C. 20390
1	Attn: AMSEL-CT-R		
1	AMSEL-CT-I		
1	AMSEL-CT-A	1	Dr. Herbert Rabin, (Code 7000) Assoc. Dir. of Res. for Space Science & Technology Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390
1	AMSEL-NL-D (Dr. H. S. Bennett)		
1	AMSEL-NL-A		
1	AMSEL-NL-C		
1	AMSEL-NL-P-2		
1	AMSEL-NL-R	2	Director Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Library, Code 2629 (ONRL) Washington, D.C. 20390
1	AMSEL-NL-S		
1	AMSEL-TL-I		
1	AMSEL-TL-S		
1	AMSEL-TL-Q		
1	AMSEL-TL-B		
1	AMSEL-VL-D	1	Dr. G. M. R. Winkler Director, Time Service Division US Naval Observatory Washington, D.C. 20390
1	AMSEL-WL-D		
1	AMSEL-TL-M (Mr. Lipetz)		
1	AMSEL-CT-L (Dr. Papayoanou)		
	<u>Department of the Navy</u>	1	Naval Air Systems Command AIR-310B Washington, D.C. 20360
3	Director, Electronic Programs Attn: Code 427 Office of Naval Research 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code AX) Washington, D.C. 20380
1	Mr. Gordon D. Goldstein, Code 437 Information Systems Program Office of Naval Research Arlington, Virginia 22217	1	US Naval Weapons Laboratory Dahlgren, Virginia 22448
1	Commander Naval Security Group Command Naval Security Group Headquarters Attn: Technical Library 3801 Nebraska Avenue N.W. Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Commander US Naval Ordnance Laboratory Attn: Librarian White Oak, Maryland 20910
1	Director Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Mr. A. Brodzinsky, Supt. Electronics Division Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Director Office of Naval Research Boston Branch 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210
		1	Commander Naval Air Development Center Warminster, PA 18974 Attn: ADT

<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>	<u>Other Government Agencies</u>
1	Commanding Officer Naval Missile Center Attn: 5632.2, Technical Library Point Mugu, California 93042	1	Dr. H. Harrison AEC/NASA Space Nuclear Systems Office AEC Headquarters, Mail F-309 Washington, D.C. 20545
1	W. A. Eberspacher Systems Effectiveness Branch (5721) Naval Missile Center Point Mugu, California 93041	1	Los Alamos Scientific Lab. Attn: Reports Library P. O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544
1	Commander Naval Electronics Laboratory Ctr. Attn: Library San Diego, California 92152	1	M. Zane Thornton Deputy Director Center for Computer Sciences and Technology National Bureau of Standards US Department of Commerce Washington, D.C. 20234
1	Deputy Director and Chief Scientist Office of Naval Research Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	US Postal Service Library-Room 6012 12th and Pennsylvania Ave. N.W. Washington, D.C. 20260
1	Superintendent Naval Post Graduate School Monterey, California 93940 Attn: Library (Code 2124)	1	NASA Lewis Research Center Attn: Library 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135
1	Officer in Charge, New London Lab. Naval Underwater Systems Center (Technical Library) New London, Connecticut 06320	1	
1	Commanding Officer Naval Avionics Facility Attn: D/035 Technical Library Indianapolis, Indiana 46241	1	<u>Non-Government Agencies</u> Director Research Laboratory of Elec- tronics Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
1	US Naval Oceanographic Office Attn: M. Rogofsky, Librarian (Code 1640) Washington, D. C. 20390	1	Director Microwave Research Institute Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn Long Island Graduate Center, Route 110 Farmingdale, New York 11735
1	Office of Naval Research Branch Office 536 South Clark Chicago, Illinois 60605	1	
1	Commanding Officer Naval Training Device Center Attn: Technical Library Orlando, Florida 32813		

No. of
Copies

No. of
Copies

1	Mr. Jerome Fox, Research Coordinator Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn 333 Jay Street Brooklyn, New York 11201	1	Director of Laboratories Division of Engineering & Applied Physics Harvard University Pierce Hall Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138
1	Director Columbia Radiation Laboratory Dept. of Physics Columbia University 538 West 120th Street New York, New York 10027	1	Dr. G. J. Murphy The Technological Institute Northwestern University Evanston, Illinois 60201
1	Director Coordinated Science Laboratory University of Illinois Urbana, Illinois 61801	1	Dr. John C. Hancock, Head School of Electrical Eng. Purdue University Lafayette, Indiana 47907
1	Director Stanford Electronics Laboratory Stanford University Stanford, California 94305	1	Dept. of Electrical Eng. P. O. Box 4200 Texas Technological University Lubbock, Texas 79409
1	Director Microwave Laboratory Stanford University Stanford, California 94305	1	Aerospace Corporation P. O. Box 92957 Attn: Library Acquisitions Group Los Angeles, California 90045
1	Director Electronics Research Laboratory University of California Berkeley, California 94720	1	AIL, A Division of Cutler Hamer Library Walt Whitman Road Melville, New York 11746
1	Director Electronics Sciences Laboratory University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007	1	The University of Arizona Dept. of Electrical Eng. Tucson, Arizona 85721
1	Director Electronics Research Center The University of Texas at Austin Engineering-Science Bldg. 112 Austin, Texas 78712	1	Engineering & Mathematical Sciences Library University of California at Los Angeles 405 Hilgred Avenue Los Angeles, California 90024
		1	Sciences-Engineering Library University of California Santa Barbara, California 93106

No. of
Copies

No. of
Copies

1	Professor Nicholas George California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91109	1	Mr. E. K. Peterson Lenkurt Electric Co., Inc. 1105 County Road San Carlos, California 94070
1	Hunt Library Carnegie-Melon University Schenley Park Pittsburgh, Penn. 15213	1	MIT Lincoln Laboratory Attn: Library A-082 P. O. Box 73 Lexington, Massachusetts 02173
1	Dr. A. G. Jordan Head of Dept. of Electrical Eng. Carnegie-Melon University Schenley Park Pittsburgh, Penn. 15213	1	Miss Barbara H. Kohl Project MAC, Room 413 545 Main Street Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
1	Dept. of Electrical Eng. Case Western Reserve University 10900 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44106	1	Professor R. H. Rediker Professor Electrical Eng. Mass. Institute of Technology Building 13-3050 Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139
1	Hollander Associates Attn: Librarian P. O. Box 2276 Fullerton, California 92633	1	Professor Joseph E. Rowe Chairman, Dept. of Electrical Computer Engineering The University of Michigan Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104
1	Walter H. Veazie, Head Electronic Properties Information Center Mail Station E-148 Hughes Aircraft Company Culver City, California 90230	1	New York University Engineering Library Bronx, New York 10453
1	Illinois Institute of Technology Dept. of Electrical Engineering Chicago, Illinois 60616	1	State University of New York College of Ceramics at Alfred University Alfred, New York 14802
1	Government Documents Dept. University of Iowa Libraries Iowa City, Iowa 52240	1	Professor James A. Cadzow Dept. of Electrical Eng. State University of New York at Buffalo Buffalo, New York 14214
1	The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory Attn: Document Librarian 8621 Georgia Avenue Silver Spring, Maryland 20910	1	Dr. Richard H. McFarland Dept. of Electrical Eng. Ohio University Athens, Ohio 45701
1	Lehigh University Dept. of Electrical Engineering Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18015		

No. of
Copies

No. of
Copies

1	Raytheon Company Research Division Library 28 Seyon Street Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1	Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute Systems Engineering School of Engineering Attn: Dr. Dean Arden Troy, New York 12181
1	Rice University Dept. of Electrical Eng. Houston, Texas 77001		
1	Dr. Leo Young, Program Manager Stanford Research Institute Menlo Park, California 94025		
1	GTE Waltham Research Lab. Library 40 Sylvan Road Attn: Esther McLaughlin, Documents Librarian Waltham, Massachusetts 02154		
1	Dr. W. R. LePage, Chairman Dept. of Electrical Eng. Syracuse University Syracuse, New York 13210		
1	Dr. F. R. Charvat Union Carbide Corporation, Materials Systems Division Crystal Products Department 8888 Balboa Avenue P. O. Box 23017 San Diego, California 92123		
1	Utah State University Dept. of Electrical Eng. Logan, Utah 84321		
1	Research Labs for the Engineering Sciences School of Engineering and Applied Science University of Virginia Charlottesville, Virginia 22903		
1	Yale University Engineering & Applied Science Library 15 Prospect Street New Haven, Connecticut 06520		

Security Classification

DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA - R & D

(Security classification of title, body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered when the overall report is classified)

1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author) Stanford Electronics Laboratories Stanford University, Stanford, California		2a. REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION Unclassified	
		2b. GROUP	
3. REPORT TITLE CONDITIONAL RATE-DISTORTION THEORY			
4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) Technical Report No. 6502-2			
5. AUTHOR(S) (First name, middle initial, last name) Robert M. Gray			
6. REPORT DATE October 1972		7a. TOTAL NO. OF PAGES 17	7b. NO. OF REFS 8
8a. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO N00014-67-A-0112-0044 & NSF Grant		8a. ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S) SU-SEL-72-047	
b. PROJECT NO. 6502		9b. OTHER REPORT NO(S) (Any other numbers that may be assigned this report) Technical Report No. 6502-2	
c.			
d.			
10. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT This document has been approved for public release & sale; its distribution is unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government			
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Joint Services Electronics Program (U.S. Army, U.S. Navy & U.S. Air Force) and the National Science Foundation	
13. ABSTRACT The basic definitions, coding theorems, and properties of joint, marginal, and conditional rate-distortion functions are presented.			

14	KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
		ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
	RATE-DISTORTION THEORY INFORMATION THEORY SOURCE CODING THEOREMS COMPOSITE SOURCES						